

**LEGAL_AWARENESS IN 2026 ITEM 92 EXTRACT OF EMAIL DATED 02 07 2026 TO HON'BLE CJI ETC
REGARDING LIVE STREAMING OF COURT PROCEEDINGS OF ALL COURTROOMS OF ALL HIGH COURTS
ETC**

jayanti das <jayantidasorissa2012@gmail.com>

Thu, Jul 2,
12:50 PM

2026

to cji.office, cj.or, mljoffice, lawsec.or, sg.office, rg.orihc, courtmatters.bci, orissastatebarcouncil, Prashant, aku.aor

To

HON'BLE CJI SUPREME COURT

HON'BLE CJO ORISSA HIGH COURT

MINISTER OF LAW AND JUSTICE NEW DELHI

LAW MINISTER GOVT OF ODISHA BHUBANESWAR

SECRETARY GENERAL SUPREME COURT

REGISTRAR GENERAL OHC

CHAIRMAN BCI

CHAIRMAN OSBC

SHRI PRASHANT BHUSHAN

SHRI ASWINI UPADHYAY

Respected Sir

SUBJECT-

**LIVE STREAMING OF COURT PROCEEDINGS OF ALL COURTROOMS OF ALL HIGH COURTS AND MAKING
MANDATORY TO GIVE REASONS IN THE ORDER OF WITHDRAWAL PETITIONS FILED BY THE
ADVOCATES**

With due respect I request your good office to consider my prayer for live streaming of court proceedings of all courtrooms of all high courts and making it mandatory to give reasons in the order of withdrawal petitions filed by the advocates in the interest of justice and making the court proceedings easily accessible to all.

Although live streaming of court proceedings have already started since 2020 but it is confined to only few court rooms and it will be more transparent and helpful to litigants as well as students and practitioners of law to access the knowledge and argument exchanged by the learned counsels in the courtrooms of all High Courts through internet which has widespread impact and the PARTIES WILL HAVE FULL KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THEIR CASES REGARDING HOW IT IS PLEADED BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT if they are not physically present in the court during the hearing and the live streaming will

bring more confidence and trust in the relationship of client and counsel which is the basic foundation of legal practice.

It is also humbly submitted that in many cases the advocates withdraw the petition in the courtroom during hearing and in the order the reason of withdrawal is not reflected and uploaded in the case status of website of the concerned High Courts and as the advocate withdraws the petition it cannot be filed again and appeal cannot be filed against the said order in the higher forum which is against natural law of justice.

It is further submitted that if the reasons of withdrawal of petition would have been mentioned in the order then there is a scope for the petitioner to go on appeal in higher forum or to approach the same Hon'ble court after removing the defects to get justice and relief for which the petitioner had approached the court and it is pertinent to mention that the people approach the court to protect their dignity and self respect which is guaranteed in Article 21 when all the doors are closed.

Such type of withdrawal petitions where reasons are not cited and copy of the same is not served to the petitioner; it leads to distrust and it is an obstruction to justice where the hands are legally tied as no further recourse is left for the petitioner to get justice and it is not good for the society and the Hon'ble court is requested to consider this aspect of the lethal repercussion on the mind of the concerned petitioners who go through mental agony and financial crisis due to such arbitrary and unlawful withdrawal of the petitions which also hits the Advocate Act and letter and spirit of Vakalatnama where the client blindly reposes trust on the advocate by signing it and the Vakalatnama is always one sided favoring the advocates and hostile to the client by its structure which needs to be corrected as there should be balance of power in between the client and the counsel for a healthy justice delivery system.

Yours faithfully

Jayanti Das

www.jayantidas.com

jayanti das <jayantidasorissa2012@gmail.com>

to highcourt.or

Thu, Jul 2,
1:03 PM